

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/679,416 | 10/07/2003 | Masahiro Katsumura | Q77786 | 3480 | |
| 23373 7 | 590 12/17/2004 | EXAMINER | | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | VANORE, DAVID A | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2881 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/679,416 | KATSUMURA ET | KATSUMURA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | Art Unit | | | |
| | | David A Vanore | 2881 | l a | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communic | ation appears on the cover sh | eet with the correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimultory period will apply and will expire SIX II, by statute, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>21 October 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b |)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 and 12-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date 10/03. | D-948) Pap TO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Not | erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT per: | ⁻ O-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2881

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species II of a beam adjusting sample and consisting of claims 7-11 in the reply filed on October 21, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 and 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on October 21, 2004.

Applicant has amended the dependency of claims 1 and 12 to depend from claim 7. This amendment strikes the recited beam adjusting sample language from claims 1 and 12. However, by referring to claim 7, claims 1 and 12 have the same limitations contained therein and are therefore still distinct species from the beam adjusting sample of claim 7, as pointed out in the previous Office action. Examiner draws applicant's attention to MPEP 806.04(e) stating that (1) claims are never species and (2) species are always specifically different embodiments. Therefore claims 1-6 and 12-16 are still distinct species from claims 7-11 though the applicant has amended the claims dependency.

Since the applicant has elected the species drawn to the generic embodiment of the beam adjusting sample and has not traversed the election of species requirement set forth in the previous Office action, claims 1-6 and 12-16 are withdrawn. Claims 7-11 will be examined on the merits in this instant Office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 10 and 11 recite a beam adjusting sample, but depend upon the beam adjusting method claim 6. For purposes of this Office action, it has been assumed that the dependency of claim 10 is a typographical error as the beam adjusting sample is recited in claim 7 and that the applicant elected the species directed to a beam adjusting sample without traverse and without calling attention to any purported errors in the previous Office action. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Goodberlet.

Goodberlet teaches a beam adjusting sample having a flat surface (Note top of Layer 40 in Fig. 1) and two end edges (The edges are defined by the walls of layer 20 bordering on hole 15 and the surface of layer 20) orthogonal to each other with a through hole (15) penetrating in a thickness direction as recited in claims 7-9.

Goodberlet further teaches a microstructure attached on a surface of the beam adjusting sample (30), and that a film of an element having a high electron stopping

Application/Control Number: 10/679,416 Page 4

Art Unit: 2881

power is coated on the surface of the microstructure (Layer 20 is resist which is an electron stopping material) as recited in claims 10-11.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dav

SUPERVIZERY PATENT EKAMIMER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000